

Optimum UAV navigation with fuzzy logic



Sanjeev Kumar Sharma

M.Phil, Roll No: 141438

Session: 2014-15

University Department of Mathematics

B.R.A Bihar University, Muzzaffarpur

Abstract

A two module fuzzy rationale regulator that likewise incorporates a separate blunder computing box is inferred for independent route and control of little monitored - automated elevated vehicles exhibiting capacity to fly through determined waypoints in a three dimensional climate more than once, perform direction following, and, copy/follow another vehicle's direction. A MATLAB standard design climate and the Aerosim Aeronautical Reenactment Block Set are used for reproduction studies, introduced through a perception interface; results illustrate regulator execution and potential.

Keywords: UAV, autonomous navigation, fuzzy-logic control

Introduction

In the writing, it very well may be seen that the exploration intrigues in charge and route of UAVs has expanded colossally as of late. This might be because of the way that UAVs progressively track down their direction into military and policing (e.g., observation, distant conveyance of critical hardware/material, asset appraisal, ecological checking, war zone checking, arms conveyance, and so forth.). This pattern will go on from now on, as UAVs are ready to supplant the human-in the know during perilous missions. Nonmilitary personnel utilizations of UAVs are likewise imagined, for example, crop cleaning, land studying, search and salvage activities, and so

on. One of the significant undertakings in UAV related research is the finishing of a mission totally independently, for example to fly without human help from takeoff to arrive on. The ground station control administrator designs the mission and the objective for observation and reconnaissance. UAV then takes off, arrives at the objective, finishes the observation mission, and turns around to the base and grounds on independently. In writing, a wide range of approaches should be visible connected with the independent control of UAVs; a portion of the procedures proposed incorporate fuzzy control versatile control brain networks hereditary calculations and Lyapunov Hypothesis. Notwithstanding the independent control of a solitary UAV, research on other UAV related regions, for example, development flight and flight way age are likewise famous. The methodology proposed in this paper is fuzzy rationale based. Three fuzzy modules are intended for independent control, one module is utilized for changing the roll point value to control UAV's flight heading, and the other two are utilized for changing lift and choke controls to get the ideal height and speed values. The presentation of the proposed framework is assessed by mimicking various experimental drills, utilizing the standard design of MATLAB and the Aerosim Aeronautical Reenactment Block Set, which gives a total arrangement of instruments for fast improvement of definite six-level of-opportunity nonlinear conventional monitored/automated ethereal vehicle models. As a test air vehicle a model which is called Aerosonde UAV is used. Fundamental attributes of Aerosonde UAV. The extraordinary adaptability of the Aerosonde, joined with a complex order and control framework, empowers organization and order from for all intents and purposes any area. GMS airplane instruments are conveyed to get visual results that help the originator in the assessment of the regulators.

UAV Flight Pattern Definition

An observation trip to be achieved by a UAV fundamentally contains the accompanying stages; ground roll, lift off, starting trip, low height flight, climb, voyage, saunter over target zone, plunge, introductory and last methodology lastly arriving, as displayed in Fig. 2. The essential flight moves of the UAV during these stages are climb, plummet, level flight and turns. In this review, UAV is considered to take off and arrive on physically. Independent route begins when UAV arrives at 2 km away structure ground control station and climbs 100 m (MSL). It arrives at way focuses all together and completes when UAV arrives at the 10th waypoint. The 10th point is the midpoint of the runway where the arrival will be. The meaning of each point incorporates speed, height and position (longitude and longitude organizes) values. The ran line in Fig. 2 addresses manual control; the persistent line addresses independent control. Character A shows the objective zone area. In this review, the test design incorporates an air terminal flight (Istanbul Ataturk Air terminal (LTBA) Turkey Runway 36L SID takeoff) and TACAN way to deal with (Yalova Air terminal (LTBP) runway 08) to demonstrate the way that UAV can fly independently an example which is intended for airplanes in the event that it has sufficient execution boundaries. In traditional SID and TACAN maps, a waypoint is characterized with the spiral point and the distance between

the VOR (VHF Omni-directional Radio Reach) station and the waypoint. After change of the waypoints as GPS facilitates, UAV can apply SID takeoff and TACAN approach as a mission plan without a VOR beneficiary (Fig. 3). It is assumed in this study that UAV takes off from Runway 36 and land on Runway 08. In the test design followed, there is a mimicked target zone which is characterized with three GPS facilitates. UAV visits these three focuses all together. While UAV is applying the flight plan, it records video when it is over the reproduced target zone. In the wake of finishing mission over the recreated target zone, it will attempt to arrive at IAF (Beginning Methodology Fix) to perform TACAN diving. UAV should comply with the elevation orders for each waypoint in the arrangement since there are a few negligible sliding heights to stay away from the beginning.

The primary mark of the way is Istanbul Ataturk Air terminal which is the takeoff air terminal. After remove, the flight proceeds with 5 NM at 356° heading while at the same time moving to show up at the second fix at least 1,600 ft elevation. Subsequent to arriving at the subsequent fix, it turns 076° heading and fly 15 NM at YAA 1D way. At the point when UAV arrives at the BEYKOZ fix it travels south to arrive at the principal fix of the reproduced target zone. Then, at that point, UAV makes an almost half round through the three fixes of the objective zone. After the finishing of three objective zone focuses, UAV goes to the seventh fix which is IAF (Starting Methodology Fix) for TACAN approach for YALOVA Air terminal, 10 NM to the air terminal at MSA (Least Safe Elevation) of 4,000 feet. Subsequent to arriving at IAF, UAV turns 090° heading while at the same time dropping. The eight fix is 5 NM to air terminal and MSA 2000'. The last fix (the 10th one) is 0.8 NM to air terminal; the last point for autopilot route. After this point on the off chance that UAV administrator sees the airplane changes to the manual control, if not UAV makes a circle over air terminal.

Simulation and Simulation Results

The exhibition and the capability of the control approach proposed are assessed by utilizing MATLAB's standard design and the Aerosim Aeronautical Recreation Block Set, the airplane reenacted being Aerosonde UAV. Furthermore FlightGear Pilot training program is sent to get visual results that help the planner in the assessment of the regulators. Regardless of the basic plan methodology, the mimicked dry runs show the ability of the methodology in accomplishing the ideal presentation.

The practice run design which incorporates SID and TACAN systems is a contribution for the recreation. This is a sort of mission for Aerosonde UAV which incorporates; take off from LTBA runway 36 L and afterward perform SID to arrive at the connected fixes, take video over the reproduced target zone and afterward arrive at IAF to apply TACAN way to deal with LTBP and land on. Aerosonde UAV's ongoing credits can be followed over GMS airplane instruments. These instruments resemble run of the mill airplane instruments. A ground station control administrator can physically fly the UAV by utilizing these instruments. There are a few additional modules

utilized in recreation concentrates on notwithstanding the flight PC and the mission PC modules. One of them is Joystick and Manuel control subsystem. This subsystem is utilized for controlling UAV by a joystick in manual determination. Assuming the regulator presses and holds the principal button of the joystick, it implies that the UAV is in the manual mode. At the point when he leaves the button, UAV accepts independent flight position. In the event that the administrator brings the UAV into an undesired state in manual control; subsequent to changing to independent control, the mission PC carries UAV to a steady position and afterward means to reach, first of all, next waypoint. A steady position means to set the UAV's control surfaces to bring the airplane inside its constraints. There are a few constraints while controlling the UAV. These impediments resemble the greatest ascension rate (600 m/min), the greatest plunge rate (800 m/min), the most extreme speed (60 kn/h), the most extreme point of climb (25°), the most extreme point of plummet (25°), the most extreme roll point (30°), and so on.

Conclusion

The motivation behind the paper has been to demonstrate fuzzy rationale based route and control of little aeronautical vehicles. Reenactment studies have shown satisfactory in general execution of the regulator. Notwithstanding, as appeared through the outcomes, there exist motions in the z-hub (elevation), when the airborne vehicle comes to the ideal/directed height. This is on the grounds that the regulator configuration is as of now founded on human pilot insight and not on flight execution perceptions. To accomplish improved results, tuning is fundamental. We are creating calculations for enrollment capabilities tuning in view of transformative hereditary techniques. One more module being worked on is that of virtual radar. Right now, the situation is fit for bringing in real guides in MATLAB. The genuine guide is in the Greek lattice framework design.. In this manner, given criticism from the climate, will permit the regulator to perform route as well as impact evasion.

References

1. Y. Li, N. Sundararajan, P. Saratchandran, "Neuro-Controller Design for Nonlinear Fighter Aircraft Maneuver using Fully Tuned RBF Networks", *Automatica*, Vol. 37, pp. 1293-1301, 2001.
2. C.Schumacher, S. N. Singh, "Nonlinear Control of Multiple UAVs in Close-coupled Formation Flight", In *Proceedings of the AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference*, pp. 14-17, Denver, CO, 2000.
3. B. A.White, A. L. Blumel, E. J. Hughes, " A Robust Fuzzy Autopilot Design Using Multi-Criteria Optimization", *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, Vol. 2, no.2, pp.129-138,2000.
4. M. G. Perhinschi, "Parameter Optimization via Genetic Algorithm of Fuzzy Controller for Autonomous Air Vehicle", In *Proceedings of the AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference*, pp.790-797, Portland, OR, 1999.

5. H. Y. Wu, Z. Y. Zhou, D. Sun, “Autonomous Hovering Control and Test for Micro Air Vehicle”, In proceedings of the International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp.528-533, Taiwan, 2003.
6. I. K. Nikolos, L. Doitsidis, V. N. Christopoulos, N. C. Tsourveloudis, “Roll Control of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles using Fuzzy Logic”, WSEAS Transactions on System, pp.1039-1047, Issue 2, vol. 4, 2003.
7. E. M. Atkins, E. H. Durfee, K. G. Shim, “Autonomous Flight with CIRCA-II”, In Autonomous Agents-99 Workshop on Autonomy Control Software, 1999.
8. P. Doherty, G. Granlund, K. Kuchcinski, E. Sandewall, K. Nordberg, E. Skarman, J. Wiklund, “The WITAS Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Project”, In Proceedings of the 14th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp.747-755, Berlin, 2000.
9. A. J. Calise, R. T. Rysdyk, “Nonlinear Adaptive Flight Control using Neural Networks”, IEEE Control Systems Magazine, vol. 18, no.6, 1998.
10. I. K. Nikolos, K. P. Valavanis, N. C. Tsourveloudis, A. N. Kostaras, “3- D Evolutionary Algorithm Based Off line/ On-line Path Planner for UAV Navigation”, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetic, Part B, December 2003 (in-print).
11. Aerosim, Aeronautical Simulation Blockset v1.1, Users Guide, www.udynamics.com .
12. V. Gravilets, B. Mettler, E. Feron, “Nonlinear Model for a Small-Size Acrobatic Helicopter”, AIAA 2001-4333, 2001.
13. Doitsidis, L., Valavanis, K.P., Tsourveloudis, N.C., Kontitsis, M.: A framework for fuzzy logic based UAV navigation and control. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Robotics Automation, vol. 4, pp. 4041–4046 (2004)
14. Schumacher, C.J., Kumar, R.: Adaptive control of UAVs in close-coupled formation flight. In: Proceedings of the American Control Conference, vol. 2, pp. 849–853 (2000)
15. Andrievsky, B., Fradkov, A.: Combined adaptive autopilot for an UAV flight control. In: Proceedings of the 2002 International Conference on Control Applications, vol. 1, pp. 290–291 (2002)
16. Dufrene, W.R., Jr.: Application of artificial intelligence techniques in uninhabited aerial vehicle flight. In: The 22nd Digital Avionics Systems Conference vol. 2, pp. 8.C.3–8.1-6 (2003)
17. Li, Y., Sundararajan, N., Sratchandran, P.: Neuro-controller design for nonlinear fighter aircraft maneuver using fully tuned RBF networks. *Automatica* 37, 1293–1301 (2001)
18. Borrelli, F., Keviczky, T., Balas, G.J.: Collision-free UAV formation flight using decentralized optimization and invariant sets. In: 43rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control vol. 1, pp. 1099–1104 (2004)

19. Marin, J.A., Radtke, R., Innis, D., Barr, D.R., Schultz, A.C.: Using a genetic algorithm to develop rules to guide unmanned aerial vehicles. In: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, vol. 1, pp. 1055–1060. (1999)
20. Ren, W., Beard, R.W.: CLF-based tracking control for UAV kinematic models with saturation constraints. In: Proceedings of the 42nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, vol. 4, pp. 3924–3929 (2003)